

# State Government Digital Preservation Profiles

## Florida LARM

July 2006

© 2006 Center for Technology in Government The Center grants permission to reprint this document provided this cover page is included. This page intentionally left blank.

### Introduction

The state government digital preservation profiles available on this Web page are based on information collected from those state and territorial library, archives, and records management units that completed CTG's State Government Digital Information Preservation Survey. CTG administered the survey to all state and several territorial librarians, archivists, and records managers in early 2006. See baseline report for details.

The profiles are organized by state or territory and the library (L), archives (A), and records management (RM) units that were represented in the survey response. (For example, "Alabama ARM," which is the first responding unit in the drop down menu below, refers to the archives and records management units from Alabama in one survey response.) For some states, respondents also included agencies other than library, archives, and records management. These profiles are marked with an asterisk (\*) and the other units that contributed to the survey response are specified in the profiles.

To select a different profile, click on the Introduction/Select Profile link located on the top left of the screen.

You can also view consolidated tables showing how all the state/territory responding units replied to selected questions in the survey. (This is also found in Appendix E of Preserving State Government Digital Information: A Baseline Report.)

#### STATE PROFILES CONTACT

G. Brian Burke, Project Manager Center for Technology in Government University at Albany, SUNY

### Section 1. Responding Unit(s)

Name of state or territory. Florida

Name and affiliation of individual(s) who responded on behalf of the state or territory. State Library and Archives of Florida

This profile includes information provided by the following units for this state:

- Library
- Archives
- Records Management

### Section 2.1a. Setting Standards for EXECUTIVE Agencies

The following units have authority for setting standards, specified below, for digital information created or maintained by EXECUTIVE agencies. Multiple boxes are checked to reflect authority that is shared or delegated.

	Authority not assigned	Library has authority	Archives has authority	Records Manage- ment has authority	Others (See below)
Setting data management standards and or guidelines for information creation (e.g., metadata, file formats).			х	х	
Setting information technology standards and or guidelines for information creation (e.g., state approved software applications).	х				
Setting standards for information retention and disposal (e.g., retention periods and methods of disposal) for various series/types of digital records and publications.			х	х	

#### Other standards and the units with authority over setting them.

No information provided

#### Additional information.

### Section 2.1b. Services Provided to EXECUTIVE Agencies

The following units provide the services, specified below, to EXECUTIVE agencies. If services are provided by multiple units, the appropriate boxes are checked.

	Services not provided	Library provides services	Archives provides services	Records Manage- ment provides services	Others (See below)
Storage for digital information.				Х	
Consultation and training services on digital information creation.	х				
Consultation and training services on digital information management.				Х	
Consultation and training services on digital information preservation.			х	х	
Consultation and training services on digital information preservation.			х	х	
Preservation (e.g., migration, reformatting).	х				
Access (e.g., search engine).	Х				
Certification (e.g., trustworthiness of system, backups sufficient).	х				

#### Other services provided and the units that provide them.

No information provided

#### Additional information.

### Section 2.2a. Setting Standards for LEGISLATIVE Agencies

The following units have authority for setting standards, specified below, for digital information created or maintained by LEGISLATIVE agencies. Multiple boxes are checked to reflect authority that is shared or delegated.

	Authority not assigned	Library has authority	Archives has authority	Records Manage- ment has authority	Others (See below)
Setting data management standards and or guidelines for information creation (e.g., metadata, file formats).					x
Setting information technology standards and or guidelines for information creation (e.g., state approved software applications).					x
Setting standards for information retention and disposal (e.g., retention periods and methods of disposal) for various series/types of digital records and publications.					х

Other standards and the units with authority over setting them.

No information provided

#### Additional information.

The Legislature follows the standards promulgated but are not required due to separation of Executive & Legislative Branches of government.

### Section 2.2b. Providing Service to LEGISLATIVE Agencies

The following units provide the services, specified below, to LEGISLATIVE agencies. If services are provided by multiple units, the appropriate boxes are checked.

	Services not provided	Library provides services	Archives provides services	Records Manage- ment provides services	Others (See below)
Storage for digital information.				Х	
Consultation and training services on digital information creation.	х				
Consultation and training services on digital information management.				Х	
Consultation and training services on digital information preservation.			х	х	
Consultation and training services on digital information preservation.			х	х	
Preservation (e.g., migration, reformatting).	х				
Access (e.g., search engine).	Х				
Certification (e.g., trustworthiness of system, backups sufficient).	х				

#### Other services provided and the units that provide them.

No information provided

#### Additional information.

### Section 2.3a. Setting Standards for JUDICIAL Agencies

The following units have authority for setting standards, specified below, for digital information created or maintained by JUDICIAL agencies. Multiple boxes are checked to reflect authority that is shared or delegated.

	Authority not assigned	Library has authority	Archives has authority	Records Manage- ment has authority	Others (See below)
Setting data management standards and or guidelines for information creation (e.g., metadata, file formats).					х
Setting information technology standards and or guidelines for information creation (e.g., state approved software applications).					x
Setting standards for information retention and disposal (e.g., retention periods and methods of disposal) for various series/types of digital records and publications.					х

#### Other standards and the units with authority over setting them.

Florida Rules of Court 2.075

#### Additional information.

The Judicial Branch follows standards promulgated but is not required to do so due to separation of Judicial and Executive Branches of government.

### Section 2.3b. Providing Service to JUDICIAL Agencies

The following units provide the services, specified below, to LEGISLATIVE agencies. If services are provided by multiple units, the appropriate boxes are checked.

	Services not provided	Library provides services	Archives provides services	Records Manage- ment provides services	Others (See below)
Storage for digital information.				Х	
Consultation and training services on digital information creation.			х	х	
Consultation and training services on digital information management.			Х	Х	
Consultation and training services on digital information preservation.			х	х	
Consultation and training services on digital information preservation.			х	х	
Preservation (e.g., migration, reformatting).	х				
Access (e.g., search engine).	Х				
Certification (e.g., trustworthiness of system, backups sufficient).	х				

#### Other services provided and the units that provide them.

No information provided

#### Additional information.

### Section 3. State Government Digital Information Preservation Activities

This section includes descriptions of past or current digital preservation activities in the state.

#### TITLE OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT DIGITAL INFORMATION PRESERVATION ACTIVITY:

Governor's Electronic Records Project

#### SHORT DESCRIPTION:

The current Governor of Florida has made eGovernment services a hallmark of his administration. As his two term tenure of Governor comes to a close the State Archives is planning for the migration of born digital records into the Archives and Records Management programs.

#### LINKS TO RELATED WEB SITES OR PROJECT DOCUMENTS:

There are none at this time.

#### CONTACT FOR THIS ACTIVITY:

Elisabeth Golding egolding@dos.state.fl.us

#### TITLE OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT DIGITAL INFORMATION PRESERVATION ACTIVITY:

Florida eDocs program

#### SHORT DESCRIPTION:

Over the past several years the State Library has hosted an eDocs program. This program seeks to discover State publications that are born digital and provides long term storage and public access to them.

#### LINKS TO RELATED WEB SITES OR PROJECT DOCUMENTS:

State Library's online catalog: http://library.florida.gov

#### CONTACT FOR THIS ACTIVITY:

Mark Flynn

(850) 245-6626 or mflynn@dos.state.fl.us

### Section 4. Training Needs for Digital Preservation Related Activities

Level of training needed for the digital preservation capabilities, specified below.

	Training already provided	Basic training needed	Advanced training needed
Identify the type and amount of digital information throughout the state.			х
Select and appraise state government information in digital form.			х
Identify key stakeholders related to specific digital information (other local/state agencies, other states, private sector, etc.).		х	
Negotiate and make agreements with key stakeholders to preserve digital information.			
Acquire state government information in digital form for holdings.			х
Manage state government information in digital form (metadata, reformatting, etc.).			х
Manage the ingest of digital information into a repository.			Х
Manage the long-term storage of digital information in a repository.			х
Develop mechanisms to monitor the long-term usability of state government information in digital form.			х
Make state government information in digital form accessible to users.			х
Produce a disaster and recovery planning for state government information in digital form.			х
Manage copyright, security, and other legal issues of relevance to state government digital information.			Х
Other (See below).			

Other training needed for digital preservation capability.

No information provided

#### Additional information on existing training programs.

### Section 5. State Government Digital Information Currently At-Risk #1

This section includes examples of state government digital information that is at-risk of deteriorating or being altered or lost through format or technological obsolescence, policy or procedural gaps, or financial constraints.

#### DESCRIPTION OF AT-RISK STATE GOVERNMENT DIGITAL INFORMATION:

At this time, we don't have a formal program established. So, born digital records and documents in all agencies are potentially at-risk.

#### CONDITIONS CAUSING INFORMATION TO BE AT-RISK:

Obsolescence of technology and the lack of authority for a centralized responsible agency to implement a program.

#### STRATEGIES CONSIDERED TO PRESERVE AT-RISK STATE GOVERNMENT DIGITAL INFORMATION:

We are currently working with the Governor's office to establish a model program. We are requesting funds for this program in this year's budget.

Electronic records standards have been promulgated in rule 1B-26 of the Florida Administrative Code.

#### DESCRIPTION OF AT-RISK STATE GOVERNMENT DIGITAL INFORMATION:

Florida born digital government documents

#### CONDITIONS CAUSING INFORMATION TO BE AT-RISK:

Florida law provides for the State Library to establish a state documents repository program but does not explicitly address electronic documents. Consequently, all government documents that are born digital are at risk of being lost owing to the lack of central authority to systematically collect and preserve electronic state documents.

#### STRATEGIES CONSIDERED TO PRESERVE AT-RISK STATE GOVERNMENT DIGITAL INFORMATION:

There is currently proposed legislation that would provide authority for the expansion of the current document depository program to include the identification and collection of born digital state documents. The Department is submitting an analysis and budget information for inclusion in the legislation.

**Examples of government digital information that was not preserved and is no longer accessible.** We don't know.

### Section 6. Enterprise Architecture

The following section describes the state's and corresponding units' awareness of and involvement in their state's Enterprise Architecture efforts.

Aware of state's Enterprise Architecture efforts. No

Involved in state's Enterprise Architecture efforts. No

Nature of involvement in the state's Enterprise Architecture efforts, if appropriate. No information provided

Links to relevant documentation that describes the unit's involvement in the state's Enterprise Architecture efforts. No information provided

### Section 7. Additional Thoughts or Comments

Management of digital information requires expertise of staff not currently available. Currently there is not a dedicated high level position responsible for management of digital information generated by State government agencies.