

### Publication patterns

The survey asked respondents about their experiences publishing DG research in traditional academic journals. In addition, we asked the extent to which they write specifically for academic or practitioner audiences.

- In the last five years, 86 percent of respondents published at least one DG related academic article, while 68 percent authored or co-authored at least one DG related practitioner paper.
- The mean number of DG academic articles published over the last five years was 4.49 (median = 3.00, range = 0 to 25).
- The mean number of DG related practitioner papers published over the last five years was 3.12 (median = 2.00, range = 0 to 25).
- 61 percent of respondents reported publishing both academic articles and practitioner papers in the last five years.

### Publishing problems

Respondents reported various obstacles when trying to publish interdisciplinary DG research in traditional outlets. A majority indicated that they needed to disaggregate multidisciplinary research into disciplinary elements (64 percent), over half experienced difficulty identifying suitable journals (57 percent), and a similar number (52 percent) believed that reviewers in traditional journals do not understand digital government issues.

### Differences among groups

Respondents with more years of experience conducting DG research were significantly more likely to have published material for practitioner audiences. Respondents who taught DG courses were significantly more likely to believe that reviewers in traditional journals do not understand DG issues. Similarly, those who published at least one practitioner-oriented paper perceived more difficulty identifying suitable journals in which to publish DG research.